

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 \mathcal{W}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/890,715 | 12/31/2001 | Micheal Butsch | 01-407 7784 | |
| 7 | 590 09/04/2003 | | | |
| Bachman & LaPointe 900 Chapel Street Suite 1201 New Haven, CT 06510-2802 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | DAVIS, DANIEL J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3731 | 10 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003 | 10 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ./ | | | Λ k |
|--|---|--|---------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 09/890,715 | BUTSCH ET AL. | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | D. Jacob Davis | 3731 | 1 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 8/8/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe | avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh | cation. A proper re ich places the appli | cation in |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The definition of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions. | Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. | of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriat | See MPEP |
| The date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | d statutory period for reply originally set in | the final Office action; or | (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered | because: | | |
| (a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth | her consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clai | ms. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ection(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely file | ed amendment |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request f application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does N | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | f to issues which we | ere newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v | | | l and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | 5: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 27-33. Amended claim 27 (based | on an Amendement dated 8/8/03) | is a combination of re | jected claims 26 |
| and 27 (based on an Amendment dated 2/24/03). | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | annound by the Free | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i | is a)∟ approved or b)∟ disap | proved by the Exam | miner. |

10. Other: _____

MICHAEL J. MILANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).